

Rhoda.grant.msp@scottish.parliament.uk

Billie Wealleans

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

For Soroptimist International of Inverness and Nairn

Programme Action Office

Address as above

[REDACTED]

Email as above

Answers to Consultation Qs

1 One incident of harassment should be sufficient prior to an order being imposed. The emphasis should be on the protection of the person(s) being harassed. We do not see any problem as more often than not if allowed to continue the situation would escalate.

2 Swifter action for protection of the person(s) being harassed.

3 Obviously the source of funding is the difficulty. However as the person(s) being harassed is/are the victim(s) we believe it is unjust for the victim(s) to live in fear. Therefore the service should be free to all.

4 Easier and faster access to protection from harassment

5 A breach should be a criminal offence. It is contempt of court but with limited consequences at present. Meanwhile the victim(s) suffer various levels of harassment. Any problem is outweighed by the advantage of instant action against the perpetrator(s) of the harassment.

6 Effective action against breaches of the harassment order i.e.-"Giving it teeth."

7 We feel we do not have sufficient information to give an informed answer to this Nevertheless we believe funds should be made available.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]